arXiv:1501.04253v1 [math.AP] 18 Jan 2015

SINGULAR LIMIT OF THE GENERALIZED BURGERS EQUATION WITH ABSORPTION

KIN MING HUI AND SUNGHOON KIM

Abstract. We prove the convergence of the solutions $u_{m,p}$ of the equation $u_t+(u^m)_x=-u^p$ in $\mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty)$, $u(x,0)=u_0(x)\geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}$, as $m\to\infty$ for any $p>1$ and $u_0\in L^1(\mathbb{R})\cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ or as $p\to\infty$ for any $m>1$ and $u_0\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. We also show that in general $\lim_{p\to\infty}\lim_{m\to\infty}u_{m,p}\neq\lim_{m\to\infty}\lim_{p\to\infty}u_{m,p}$.

1. Introduction

Recently there is a lot of studies on the singular limit of solutions of partial differential equations. Singular limit of solutions of the porous medium equation,

$$\begin{cases} u_t=\Delta u^m & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T)\\ u(x,0)=u_0\geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

as $m\to\infty$ is proved by L.A. Caffarelli and A. Friedman in [CF] when u_0 satisfies some appropriate conditions. Later P. Bénilan, L. Boccardo and M. Herrero [BBH] and P.E. Sacks [S] extended this result to more general initial value $0\leq u_0\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Singular limits of the solutions of the porous medium equation with absorption or drift term were proved by K.M. Hui in [H1], [H2] and [H3]. Singular limit as $p\to\infty$ of the solutions of the one dimensional nonlinear wave equation

$$\phi_{tt}-\phi_{xx}=-|\phi|^{p-1}\phi \tag{1.2}$$

with initial data $\phi(x,0)=\phi_0(x)$, $\phi_t(x,0)=\phi_1(x)$, was proved by T. Tao in [T]. Singular limit of solutions of the hyperbolic equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t+(u^m)_x=0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty)\\ u(x,0)=u_0\geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

as $m\to\infty$ was proved by X. Xu in [X]. Recently B. Perthame, F. Quiros and J.L. Vazquez [PQV] proved the singular limit of solutions of the following system of equations, which arises in the Hele-Shaw models of tumor growth [P], [PTV],

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t+\operatorname{div}(\rho\nabla p)=\rho\Phi(p,c)\\ c_t-\Delta c=-\rho\Psi(p,c)\\ c(x,t)\to c_B>0 \quad \text{as } |x|\to\infty, \end{cases}$$

as $m\to\infty$, where $p=k\rho^{m-1}$ for some constant $k>0$ and Φ, Ψ, are smooth functions that satisfy some structural conditions.

Date: Jan 7, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35B40 Secondary 35F20, 35L02.

Key words and phrases. singular limit, generalized Burgers equation with absorption.

In this paper we will study the singular limit of solutions $u_{m,p}$ of the generalized Burgers equation with absorption,

$$\begin{cases} u_t + (u^m)_x = -u^p & \text{in } \mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty)\\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) \geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}\end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

when either $m \to \infty$ or $p \to \infty$. We will prove that under some mild conditions on the initial data u_0, as $m \to \infty$ or $p \to \infty$, the singular limit of solutions of (1.4) exists.

More precisely we will prove the following three results.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $0 \leq u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. For any $p > 1$, $m > 1$, let $u_{m,p}$ be the solution of* (1.4) *in $\mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty)$ given by Lemma 1.4. Then as $m \to \infty$, $u_{m,p}$ converges in $C\left([t_0,T];L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ for any $T > t_0 > 0$ to some function $u_{\infty,p}$, $0 \leq u_{\infty,p} \leq 1$, which satisfies*

$$u_t = -u^p \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty)) \tag{1.5}$$

with initial value $u^0_\infty(x)$, $0 \leq u^0_\infty \leq 1$, that satisfies

$$u^0_\infty(x) + \psi_x(x) = u_0(x) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}) \tag{1.6}$$

for some function $0 \leq \psi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$\psi(x) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \{x \in \mathbb{R} : u^0_\infty(x) < 1\}. \tag{1.7}$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let $0 \leq u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. For any $p > 1$, $m > 1$, let $u_{m,p}$ be the solution of* (1.4) *in $\mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty)$ given by Lemma 1.4. Then as $p \to \infty$, $u_{m,p}$ converges in $C([t_0,T];L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$ for any $T > t_0 > 0$ to the solution $u_{m,\infty}$ of the equation,*

$$\begin{cases} u_t + (u^m)_x = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty)\\ u(x,0) = \min(u_0(x),1) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}.\end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

Theorem 1.3. *Let $0 \leq u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. For any $p > 1$, $m > 1$, let $u_{m,p}$ be the solution of* (1.4) *and let $u_{\infty,p}$, u^0_∞, $u_{m,\infty}$, be given by Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 respectively. Then the following holds:*

(i) *as $m \to \infty$, $u_{m,\infty}$ converges in $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty))$ to some function v_1 on $\mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq v_1 \leq 1$, which satisfies*

$$v_1(x) + \psi_1(x)_x = \min\{u_0(x),1\} \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}) \tag{1.9}$$

for some function $0 \leq \psi_1 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\psi_1(x) = 0$ for a.e. $x \in \{x : v_1(x) < 1\}$.

(ii) *as $p \to \infty$, $u_{\infty,p}$ converges weakly in $L^1(\mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty))$ to u^0_∞.*

Note that as a consequence of Theorem 1.3 in general we have

$$\lim_{p\to\infty}\lim_{m\to\infty} u_{m,p} \neq \lim_{m\to\infty}\lim_{p\to\infty} u_{m,p}.$$

The plan of the paper is as follows. We will prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 in section two and section three respectively. In section four we will prove Theorem 1.3.

We start with some definitions. We will use the definition of solution in [K] for (1.4). For any $\varphi \in C^1([0,\infty))$, we say that a function $0 \leq u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty))$ is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} u_t + (u^m)_x = \varphi(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty)\\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) \geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}\end{cases} \tag{1.10}$$

if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- **(i)** for any $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \le \eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty))$,
$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{|u(x,t) - k|\eta_t + |u(x,t)^m - k^m|\eta_x + \operatorname{sign}(u(x,t) - k)\varphi(u)\eta\}\, dxdt \ge 0;$$
- **(ii)** there exists a set $\mathcal{E}$ of measure zero on $[0, \infty)$ such that for any $t \in [0, \infty)\backslash\mathcal{E}$ the function $u(x,t)$ is defined almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}$ and
$$\lim_{\substack{t \in [0,\infty)\backslash\mathcal{E} \\ t \to 0}} \int_{B_r} |u(x,t) - u_0(x)|\, dx = 0$$
holds for any ball $B_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x| \le r\}$.

As observed by [K] for any solution u of (1.10), u satisfies
$$\int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty u\eta_t\, dxdt + \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty u^m \eta_x\, dxdt = -\int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \varphi(u)\eta\, dxdt \tag{1.11}$$
for any $0 \le \eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty))$. Note that by the result and the proof of [K] we have the following two results.

Lemma 1.4. *Let $m > 1$, $p > 1$ and $0 \le u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Then there exist unique solutions $u_{m,p}$, v_m, of* (1.4) *and*
$$\begin{cases} u_t + (u^m)_x = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty) \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \tag{1.12}$$
respectively which satisfy
$$0 \le u_{m,p} \le v_m \le \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty). \tag{1.13}$$
If $0 \le u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{m,p}(x,t)\, dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_m(x,t)\, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(x)\, dx \qquad \forall t > 0. \tag{1.14}$$

Lemma 1.5. *Let $m > 1$, $p > 1$, $0 \le u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, and $u_{m,p}$ be the unique solution of* (1.4) *in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$. Then for any $R > 1$ and $T > t_0 > 0$ there exists a monotone increasing function $\omega_R \in C([0, \infty))$, $\omega_R(0) = 0$, depending only on R, $\|u_0\|_{L^\infty}$, $m \left\|u_{m,p}\right\|^{m-1}_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times (t_0,T])}$ and $p \left\|u_{m,p}\right\|^{p-1}_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times (t_0,T])}$ such that*
$$\int_{|x|<R} \left|u_{m,p}(x + x_0, t) - u_{m,p}(x,t)\right|\, dx \le \omega_R(|x_0|) \qquad \forall |x_0| \le 1,\ t_0 \le t \le T \tag{1.15}$$
and
$$\int_{|x|<R} \left|u_{m,p}(x, t_1) - u_{m,p}(x,t_2)\right|\, dx \le \omega_R(|t_1 - t_2|) \qquad \forall t_1, t_2 \in [t_0, T]. \tag{1.16}$$

By Theorem 1 of [K] and Lemma 1.4 we have the following result.

Lemma 1.6. *Let $m > 1$, $p > 1$, and $u_{0,1}$, $u_{0,2} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be non-negative functions on $\mathbb{R}$. Suppose u_1, u_2, are the solutions of* (1.4) *in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$ with initial value $u_0 = u_{0,1}, u_{0,2}$, respectively. Let*
$$N = \max\left\{m\|u_{0,1}\|^{m-1}_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}, m\|u_{0,2}\|^{m-1}_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\right\}.$$
Then
$$\|u_1(\cdot,t) - u_2(\cdot,t)\|_{L^1(B_{R-Nt})} \le \|u_{0,1} - u_{0,2}\|_{L^1(B_R)} \qquad \forall 0 < t < R/N, R > 0, p > 1. \tag{1.17}$$

We will now assume that $0 \le u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and let $u_{m,p}$, v_m, be the solutions of (1.4) and(1.12) respectively for the rest of the paper. For any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $R > 0$, we let $B_R(x_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x - x_0| < R\}$ and $B_R = B_R(0)$.

2. Singular limit as $m \to \infty$

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.1. For fixed $p > 1$, we will write $u_m := u_{m,p}$ for any $m > 1$. We will also assume that $0 \le u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and let

$$\psi_m(x,t) = \int_0^t u_m(x,\tau)^m \, d\tau$$

in this section. Let $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ be a sequence such that $m_i \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$. By (1.13) and the result on P. 64 of [X],

$$0 \le u_m(x,t)^m \le v_m(x,t)^m \le \frac{2\,\|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{(m-1)t}, \qquad \text{a.e. } (x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0,\infty). \tag{2.1}$$

Then

$$m\,(u_m)^{m-1} \le m\left(\frac{2\,\|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{(m-1)t}\right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} = \left(\frac{m}{m-1}\right)(m-1)^{\frac{1}{m}}\left(\frac{2\,\|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{t}\right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}}.$$

Hence for any $t_0 > 0$ there exists a constant $M_{t_0} > 0$ such that

$$m\|u_m\|^{m-1}_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times(t_0,\infty))} \le M_{t_0} \quad \forall m \ge 2.$$

Thus for any $R > 1$ and $T > t_0 > 0$, we can choose the function ω_R in Lemma 1.5 to be independent of $m \ge 2$. Hence, by (1.13), (1.15) and (1.16), the sequence $\{u_{m_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is equi-continuous in $C\left([t_0,T]; L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ for any $T > t_0 > 0$. Thus by (2.1), the Ascoli theorem and a diagonalization argument the sequence $\{u_{m_i}\}_{i=1}$ has a subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself that converges in $C\left([t_0,T]; L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ for any $T > t_0 > 0$ to some function $u_{\infty,p} \in C\left((0,\infty); L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})\right)$, $0 \le u_{\infty,p} \le 1$, as $i \to \infty$. When there is no ambiguity we will drop the subscript p and write u_∞ for $u_{\infty,p}$.

Lemma 2.1. *u_∞ satisfies* (1.5).

Proof. By (2.1), $(u_m)^m \to 0$ uniformly on $\mathbb{R} \times [T_0,\infty)$ for any fixed $T_0 > 0$ as $m \to \infty$. Putting $u = u_m$, $\varphi(u) = -(u_m)^p$, $m = m_i$, in (1.11) and letting $i \to \infty$, we get

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty u_\infty \eta_t \, dxdt = \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty (u_\infty)^p \, \eta \, dxdt \qquad \forall 0 \le \eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times (0,\infty)).$$

and (1.5) follows. □

Lemma 2.2. *For any $T > 0$ the sequence of functions $\{\psi_m(x,t)\}_{m>p}$ is equi-continuous in $C([0,T); L^1(\mathbb{R}))$.*

Proof. We will use a modification of the technique of [X] to prove the lemma. We first extend u_m to a function on $\mathbb{R}^2$ by letting $u_m(x,t) = 0$ for all $t < 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Since u_m satisfies (1.11) with $\phi(u) = -(u_m)^p$, by (1.11) and an approximation argument,

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty u_m \eta_t \, dxdt + \int_\infty^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty (u_m)^m \eta_x \, dxdt + \int_{-\infty}^\infty u_0 \eta \, dx = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty (u_m)^p \eta \, dxdt \quad \forall 0 \le \eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2). \tag{2.2}$$

We choose $\Phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $0 \le \Phi \le 1$, such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi \, dxdy = 1$ and let $J_\varepsilon(x,t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Phi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Putting $\eta(x,t) = J_\varepsilon(\xi - x, \tau - t)$ in (2.2),

$$-\left(A_{m,\varepsilon}(\xi,\tau)\right)_\tau - \left(B_{m,\varepsilon}(\xi,\tau)\right)_\xi + \int_{-\infty}^\infty J_\varepsilon(\xi - x, \tau) u_0(x) \, dx = C_{m,\varepsilon}(\xi,\tau) \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$A_{m,\varepsilon}(\xi,\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_m(x,t)J_\varepsilon(\xi - x, \tau - t)\,dxdt,$$

$$B_{m,\varepsilon}(\xi,\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u_m)^m(x,t)J_\varepsilon(\xi - x, \tau - t)\,dxdt,$$

and

$$C_{m,\varepsilon}(\xi,\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u_m)^p(x,t)J_\varepsilon(\xi - x, \tau - t)\,dxdt.$$

Integrating (2.3) first with respect to ξ over $(x, x+h)$, $h > 0$, and then with respect to τ over (σ, t), $t > \sigma > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\sigma^t B_{m,\varepsilon}(x+h,\tau)\,d\tau - \int_\sigma^t B_{m,\varepsilon}(x,\tau)\,d\tau + \int_\sigma^t\int_x^{x+h} C_{m,\varepsilon}(\xi,\tau)\,d\xi d\tau \\ &\qquad = -\int_x^{x+h}\left(A_{m,\varepsilon}(\xi,t) - A_{m,\varepsilon}(\xi,\sigma)\right)\,d\xi + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_x^{x+h}\int_\sigma^t J_\varepsilon(\xi - z,\tau)u_0(z)\,d\tau d\xi dz. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Similar to the proof on P.63–64 of [X], letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\sigma^t \left[u_m(x+h,\tau)^m - u_m(x,\tau)^m\right]\,d\tau \\ &\qquad = -\int_x^{x+h}\left(u_m(\xi,t) - u_m(\xi,\sigma)\right)\,d\xi - \int_\sigma^t\int_x^{x+h} u_m(\xi,\tau)^p\,d\xi d\tau \quad \text{a.e. } (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\sigma \to 0$,

$$\psi_m(x+h,t) - \psi_m(x,t) = \int_x^{x+h} u_0(\xi)\,d\xi - \int_x^{x+h} u_m(\xi,t)\,d\xi - \int_0^t\int_x^{x+h} u_m(\xi,\tau)^p\,d\xi d\tau \tag{2.5}$$

for a.e. $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty)$. By (1.14) and (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_0^t\int_x^{x+h} u_m^p(\xi,\tau)\,d\xi d\tau dx &\le h\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_m^p(\xi,\tau)\,d\xi d\tau \\ &\le \left(\frac{2\,\|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{m-1}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{m}} h\int_0^t\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{p-1}{m}}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_m\,d\xi\right)d\tau \\ &\le h\left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{p-1}{m}}\right)\left(\frac{2\,\|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{m-1}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{m}}\|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}\,t^{1-\frac{p-1}{m}} \qquad \forall m > p-1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Hence, by (1.14), (2.5) and (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\psi_m(x+h,t) - \psi_m(x,t)\right|\,dx \\ &\qquad \le h\left[2 + \left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{p-1}{m}}\right)\left(\frac{2\,\|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{m-1}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{m}} t^{1-\frac{p-1}{m}}\right]\|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \qquad \text{a.e. } t > 0, \quad \forall m > p-1,\ h > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

By (1.14) and (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\psi_m(x,t+h)-\psi_m(x,t)\right|\,dx&=\int_t^{t+h}\int_{\mathbb{R}}u_m(x,\tau)^m\,dxd\tau\\&\le\frac{m}{m-1}(m-1)^{\frac{1}{m}}2^{1-\frac{1}{m}}\left\|u_0\right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}^{2-\frac{1}{m}}\left((t+h)^{\frac{1}{m}}-t^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)\end{aligned}\tag{2.8}$$

for a.e $t>0$, $h>0$, and any $m>p-1$. By (1.13) and (2.1),

$$0\le\psi_m(x,t)=\int_0^t u_m(x,\tau)^m\,d\tau\le\frac{m}{m-1}(m-1)^{\frac{1}{m}}\left(2\left\|u_0\right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}\right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}}\left\|u_0\right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}t^{\frac{1}{m}}\qquad\text{a.e. } x\in\mathbb{R},t>0.\tag{2.9}$$

By (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9), the lemma follows. □

By (2.9) and Lemma 2.2 the sequence $\{\psi_{m_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ has a subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to the sequence itself such that ψ_{m_i} converges in $C([0,T];L^1(\mathbb{R}))$ to some function $0\le\psi\in C([0,\infty);L^1(\mathbb{R}))\cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times(0,T))$ for any $T>0$ as $i\to\infty$.

By an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 1.2 of [X], the following result holds.

Proposition 2.3. *The function ψ is independent of t.*

By Proposition 2.3, $0\le\psi\in L^1(\mathbb{R})\cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. We are now ready for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By the previous arguments it remains to prove the uniqueness of u_∞. Let $\eta\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We first claim that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u_{m_i}\right)^{m_i}\eta_x\,dxdt=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\psi(x)\eta_x(x,0)\,dx.\tag{2.10}$$

To prove the claim we choose $R_0>0$, $T_0>0$, such that supp $\eta\subset[-R_0,R_0]\times[-T_0,T_0]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u_{m_i}\right)^{m_i}\eta_x\,dxdt&=\int_\delta^{T_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u_{m_i}\right)^{m_i}\eta_x\,dxdt+\int_0^\delta\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u_{m_i}\right)^{m_i}\left(\eta_x(x,t)-\eta_x(x,0)\right)dxdt\\&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\eta_x(x,0)\left(\int_0^\delta u_{m_i}(x,t)^{m_i}\,dt\right)dx\\&=I_1+I_2+I_3\qquad\forall 0<\delta<T_0.\end{aligned}\tag{2.11}$$

By (2.1),

$$I_1\to 0\qquad\text{as } i\to\infty.\tag{2.12}$$

By the mean value theorem, for any $x\in\mathbb{R}$, $t>0$, there exists a constant $t_x\in(0,t)$ such that

$$\eta_x(x,t)-\eta_x(x,0)=t\eta_{xt}(x,t_x).$$

Then by (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned}|I_2|=\left|\int_0^\delta\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u_{m_i}\right)^{m_i}\eta_{xt}(x,t_x)\,t\,dxdt\right|&\le\int_0^\delta\int_{-R_0}^{R_0}\left(\frac{2\|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}\|\eta_{xt}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}}{m_i-1}\right)dxdt\\&\le\frac{4\delta R_0\|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}\|\eta_{xt}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}}{m_i-1}\to 0\qquad\text{as } i\to\infty.\end{aligned}\tag{2.13}$$

By Proposition 2.3,

$$\int_0^\delta u_{m_i}(x,t)^{m_i}\,dt\to\psi(x)\qquad\text{in } L^1(\mathbb{R})\qquad\text{as } i\to\infty.\tag{2.14}$$

Letting $i\to\infty$ in (2.11), by (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14), the claim (2.10) follows.

Since u_∞ satisfies (1.5), $u_\infty(x,t)$ is monotone decreasing in $t>0$. Hence

$$u_\infty^0(x) := u_\infty(x,0) = \lim_{t\to 0} u_\infty(x,t) \qquad \text{exists.}$$

Putting $m=m_i$ in (2.2) and letting $i\to\infty$,

$$\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_\infty\eta_t\,dxdt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(x)\eta(x,0)\,dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}}\psi(x)\eta_x(x,0)\,dx = \int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}}(u_\infty)^p\,\eta\,dxdt \qquad \forall 0\le\eta\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2). \tag{2.15}$$

We now choose $\phi\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $0\le\phi\le 1$, such that $\phi(r)=0$ for all $r\le -1$ and $\phi(r)=1$ for all $r\ge 0$ and let $\phi_\varepsilon(r)=\phi(r/\varepsilon)$ for any $r\in\mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon>0$. For any $\eta\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $t_0>0$, by replacing η by $\phi_\varepsilon(t)\phi_\varepsilon(t_0-t)\eta(x)$ in (2.15) and letting $\varepsilon\to 0$, we have

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_\infty(x,t_0)\eta(x)\,dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(x)\eta(x)\,dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}}\psi(x)\eta_x(x)\,dx = \int_0^{t_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_\infty^p\eta\,dxdt. \tag{2.16}$$

Letting $t_0\to 0$ in (2.16), by the monotone convergence theorem,

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_\infty^0(x)\eta(x)\,dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(x)\eta(x)\,dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}}\psi(x)\eta_x(x)\,dx = 0 \quad \forall\eta\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$$

and (1.6) holds. We are now going to prove (1.7). For any $k>1$ let $\eta_k(x)=\phi(x+k)\phi(k-x)$. Then $0\le\phi_k\le 1$, $\eta_k(x)=1$ for any $|x|\le k$ and $\eta_k(x)=0$ for any $|x|\ge k+1$. By (1.6) there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_\infty^0\eta_k\,dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0\eta_k\,dx\right| \le C\int_{k\le|x|\le k+1}\psi\,dx \quad \forall k>1. \tag{2.17}$$

Since $\psi\in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, letting $k\to\infty$ in (2.17),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_\infty^0\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0\,dx. \tag{2.18}$$

We now recall that by the result of [X],

$$v_m(x,t)\to v_\infty(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t v_m(x,t)^m\,dt \to \widetilde{\psi}(x) \qquad \text{as } m\to\infty \quad \text{in } L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty))$$

for some functions $v_\infty(x)$, $\widetilde{\psi}(x)$, which satisfy

$$0\le v_\infty(x)\le 1, \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_\infty\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0\,dx, \qquad 0\le\widetilde{\psi}(x)\in L^1(\mathbb{R})\cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \tag{2.19}$$

and

$$v_\infty(x) + \widetilde{\psi}_x(x) = u_0(x) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}), \tag{2.20}$$

with

$$\widetilde{\psi}(x) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. } x\in\{x\in\mathbb{R} : v_\infty(x)<1\}. \tag{2.21}$$

Since $u_m(x,t)\le v_m(x,t)$, we have

$$0\le\psi\le\widetilde{\psi} \tag{2.22}$$

and

$$u_\infty(x,t)\le v_\infty(x) \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad u_\infty^0(x)\le v_\infty(x). \tag{2.23}$$

By (2.18) and (2.19),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_\infty^0(x)\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_\infty(x)\,dx. \tag{2.24}$$

By (2.23) and (2.24),

$$u_\infty^0(x) = v_\infty(x) \quad \text{a.e. } x\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{2.25}$$

By (2.21), (2.22) and (2.25), we get (1.7). By the discussion on P.70 of [X], u_∞^0 is uniquely determined by (1.6) and (1.7). Since u_∞ satisfies (1.5) with initial value u_∞^0, the function u_∞ is unique. Since the sequence $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is arbitrary, u_m converges to u_∞ in $C([t_0,T];L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$ for any $T > t_0 > 0$ as $m \to \infty$ and Theorem 1.1 follows. □

3. Singular limit as $p \to \infty$

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.2. We will fix $m > 1$ and write $w_p := u_{m,p}$ for any $p > 1$. We will assume that $0 \le u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ in this section.

Lemma 3.1. *w_p satisfies*

$$w_p(x,t) \le \frac{1}{\left((p-1)t + \|u_0\|_{L^\infty}^{1-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} \qquad a.e.\ (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty) \quad \forall p > 1. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. By direct computation, the function

$$h(x,t) = \frac{1}{\left((p-1)t + \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{1-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}$$

satisfies

$$\begin{cases} u_t + (u^m)_x = \varepsilon u_{xx} - u^p & \text{in } \mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty) \\ u(x,0) = \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}. & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $u^\varepsilon_{m,p}(x,t)$ be the solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t + (u^m)_x = \varepsilon u_{xx} - u^p & \text{in } \mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty) \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

By the construction of solution in [K], $u^\varepsilon_{m,p}$ converges almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty)$ to w_p as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$. By the maximum principle for parabolic equation,

$$\begin{aligned} & u^\varepsilon_{m,p}(x,t) \le h(x,t) \quad \forall (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty) \\ \Rightarrow \quad & w_p(x,t) \le h(x,t) \qquad \text{a.e. } (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty) \qquad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0^+ \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma follows. □

Let $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^+$, $p_i > 2$, $\forall i, \cdots$, be such that $p_i \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$. By (3.1) for any $t_0 > 0$,

$$p\|w_p\|^{p-1}_{L^\infty([t_0,\infty))} \le \frac{p}{(p-1)t_0} \quad \forall p > 1. \tag{3.3}$$

By (3.3) for any $R > 0$, $T > t_0 > 0$, we can choose the function ω_R in Lemma 1.5 to be independent of $p \ge 2$. Hence by Lemma 1.5 the sequence $\left\{w_{p_i}\right\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is equi-continuous in $C([t_0,T];L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$ for any $T > t_0 > 0$. Hence by (1.13), the Ascoli theorem and a diagonalization argument the sequence $\left\{w_{p_i}\right\}_{i=1}^\infty$ has a subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself that converges in $C([t_0,T];L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$ for any $T > t_0 > 0$ to some non-negative function $w_\infty \in C((0,\infty);L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty))$ as $i \to \infty$. Putting $p = p_i$ in (3.1) and letting $i \to \infty$,

$$w_\infty \le 1 \qquad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty). \tag{3.4}$$

Lemma 3.2. *w_∞ satisfies*

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}}\{|w_\infty(x,t)-k|\eta_t+|w_\infty(x,t)^m-k^m|\eta_x\}\,dxdt\ge 0\qquad\forall k\in\mathbb{R},\ 0\le\eta\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty)).\tag{3.5}$$

Proof. Let $0\le\eta\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty))$. Since w_p is the solution of (1.4),

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}}\{|w_p(x,t)-k|\eta_t+|w_p(x,t)^m-k^m|\eta_x-\operatorname{sign}(w_p(x,t)-k)w_p(x,t)^p\eta\}\,dxdt\ge 0\quad\forall k\in\mathbb{R}.\tag{3.6}$$

We now choose $T>t_0>0$ and $R_1>0$ such that

$$\operatorname{supp}\eta\subset B_{R_1}\times(t_0,T).$$

By (3.1),

$$w_p(x,t)^p\le\frac{1}{((p-1)t_0)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}\qquad\text{a.e. }(x,t)\in\mathbb{R}\times[t_0,\infty),\ \forall p>1.\tag{3.7}$$

Since the right hand side of (3.7) converges to 0 as $p\to\infty$, letting $p=p_i$ and $i\to\infty$ in (3.6), by (1.13), (3.7) and the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, (3.5) follows. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $0\le u_0\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})\cap C(\mathbb{R})$. Suppose there exists $x_0\in\mathbb{R}$ and $\delta>0$ such that*

$$u_0(x)<1,\qquad\forall x\in\overline{B_{2\delta}(x_0)}.$$

Then,

$$\lim_{t\to0}\|w_\infty(\cdot,t)-u_0(x)\|_{L^1(B_\delta(x_0))}=0.\tag{3.8}$$

Proof. We divide the proof into two cases.
Case 1. $\|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\le 1$.

By (1.13),

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}w_p(x,t)\eta(x)\,dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}}u_0(x)\eta(x)\,dx\right|\le\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[(w_p)^m|\eta_x|+(w_p)^p|\eta|\right]dxdt\le C_\eta t,\quad\forall p>1,\ \eta\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$$

$$\Rightarrow\qquad\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}w_\infty(x,t)\eta(x)\,dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}}u_0(x)\eta(x)\,dx\right|\le C_\eta t,\qquad\forall\eta\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})\qquad\text{as }p=p_i\to\infty.$$

Then

$$w_\infty\to u_0\qquad\text{weakly in }L^1(\mathbb{R})\text{ as }t\to0.\tag{3.9}$$

Let $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty\subset\mathbb{R}^+$ be such that $t_i\to0$ as $i\to\infty$. Then by (3.9), there exists the sequence $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$has a subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself such that

$$w_\infty(x,t_i)\to u_0(x)\qquad\text{a.e. }x\in\mathbb{R}\quad\text{as }i\to\infty.\tag{3.10}$$

By (3.10) and Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem,

$$\|w_\infty(\cdot,t_i)-u_0\|_{L^1(B_R)}\to0\qquad\forall R>0\qquad\text{as }i\to\infty.$$

Since the sequence $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is arbitrary, (3.8) follows.
Case 2. $u_0\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

Let $\theta=\max_{|x-x_0|<\delta}u_0(x)$. Then, $\theta<1$. We now choose a smooth non-negative function v_0 on $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$v_0(x)=u_0(x),\quad\forall x\in B_{2\delta}(x_0)\qquad\text{and}\qquad v_0(x)\le\frac{\theta+1}{2},\quad\forall x\in\mathbb{R}.$$

Let $N=m\,\|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{m-1}$ and v_p be the solution of (1.4) with initial value v_0. By the same argument as before, the sequence $\left\{v_{p_i}\right\}_{i=1}^\infty$ has a subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself that converges in $C\left([t_0,T);L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ for any $T>t_0>0$ to some function $v_\infty\in C\left((0,\infty);L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})\right)\cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty))$ as $i\to\infty$. Then, By Lemma 1.6,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|w_p(\cdot,t)-v_p(\cdot,t)\right\|_{L^1(B_\delta(x_0))}=\left\|w_p(\cdot,0)-v_p(\cdot,0)\right\|_{L^1(B_{2\delta}(x_0))}=0 \qquad \forall 0<t<\frac{\delta}{N},\ p>1\\
\Rightarrow\quad &\|w_\infty(\cdot,t)-v_\infty(\cdot,t)\|_{L^1(B_\delta(x_0))}=0 \qquad \forall 0<t<\frac{\delta}{N} \quad \text{as } p=p_i\to\infty\\
\Rightarrow\quad &w_\infty(x,t)=v_\infty(x,t), \qquad \forall 0<t<\frac{\delta}{N},\ |x-x_0|\le\delta. \qquad (3.11)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (3.11) and **Case 1**,

$$\|w_\infty(\cdot,t)-u_0\|_{L^1(B_\delta(x_0))}=\|v_\infty(\cdot,t)-u_0\|_{L^1(B_\delta(x_0))}\to 0 \qquad \text{as } t\to 0$$

and (3.8) follows. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let*

$$w^0_\infty(x)=\min\left(u_0(x),1\right) \qquad \forall x\in\mathbb{R}.$$

Then,

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\int_{B_R}\left|w_\infty(x,t)-w^0_\infty(x)\right|\,dx=0 \qquad \forall R>0. \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. We divide the proof into 2 cases.

Case 1. $u_0\in C(\mathbb{R})\cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

Since $\{x:u_0(x)<1\}$ is open, by the Lindelof theorem [R], $\{x:u_0(x)<1\}=\bigcup_{j=1}^\infty B_{2\delta_j}(x_j)$ for some $x_j\in\{x:u_0(x)<1\}$ and $\delta_j>0$, $j=1,2,\cdots$. By Lemma 3.3 for any $j\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ (3.8) holds for $\delta=\delta_j$.

Let $\varepsilon>0$ and $u_{0,\varepsilon}(x)=\min(u_0(x),1-\varepsilon)$. For any $m>1$, $p>1$, let $u_{m,p,\varepsilon}$ be the solutions of (1.4) in $\mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty)$ with initial value $u_{0,\varepsilon}$. By the same argument as before $u_{m,p,\varepsilon}$ satisfies (3.3). Moreover the sequence $\{u_{m,p_i,\varepsilon}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is equi-continuous in $C([t_0,T];L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$ for any $T>t_0>0$ and has a subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself that converges in $C([t_0,T];L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$ for any $T>t_0>0$ to some function $w_{\infty,\varepsilon}\in C((0,\infty);L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$ as $i\to\infty$ which satisfies

$$0\le w_{\infty,\varepsilon}(x,t)\le 1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}\times[0,\infty) \tag{3.13}$$

Since $u_{0,\varepsilon}<1$ in $\mathbb{R}$, by the proof of Lemma 3.3,

$$w_{\infty,\varepsilon}(x,t)\to u_{0,\varepsilon}(x) \qquad \text{in } L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{as } t\to 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Since $u_{0,\varepsilon}\le u_0$, by the construction of solutions of (1.4) in [K],

$$u_{m,p,\varepsilon}\le w_p \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty) \quad\Rightarrow\quad w_{\infty,\varepsilon}\le w_\infty \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty) \quad \text{as } p=p_i, i\to\infty. \tag{3.15}$$

By (3.4), (3.14) and (3.15),

$$\begin{aligned}
&1\ge\limsup_{t\to 0}w_\infty(x,t)\ge\liminf_{t\to 0}w_\infty(x,t)\ge\lim_{t\to 0}w_{\infty,\varepsilon}(x,t)=1-\varepsilon \qquad \text{a.e. } x\in\{x:u_0(x)\ge 1\}\\
\Rightarrow\quad &\lim_{t\to 0}w_\infty(x,t)=1=w^0_\infty(x) \qquad \text{a.e. } x\in\{x:u_0(x)\ge 1\} \qquad \text{as } \varepsilon\to 0. \qquad (3.16)
\end{aligned}$$

Since (3.8) holds for $\delta=\delta_j$, $j\in\mathbb{Z}^+$, any sequence $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, $t_i\to 0$ as $i\to\infty$, will have a subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself such that

$$w_\infty(x,t_i)\to u_0(x) \qquad \text{a.e. } x\in\{x:u_0(x)<1\}. \tag{3.17}$$

Hence, by (3.16), (3.17) and the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem,

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{|x|<R}\left|w_\infty(x,t_i)-w^0_\infty(x)\right|\,dx=0 \qquad \forall R>0.$$

Since the sequence $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is arbitrary, (3.12) follows.
Case 2. $u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

We choose a sequence of functions $\{u_{0,j}\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \|u_{0,j} - u_0\|_{L^1(B_R)} \to 0 & \text{as } j \to \infty, \quad \forall R > 0 \\ u_{0,j}(x) \to u_0(x) & \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{as } j \to \infty \\ \|u_{0,j}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \le \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + \dfrac{1}{j} & \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \end{cases} \tag{3.18}$$

For any $m > 1$, $p > 1$, let $u_{m,p,j}$ be the solutions of (1.4) with initial value $u_{0,j}$. By the same argument as before for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ the sequence $\{u_{m,p_i,j}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ has a subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself that converges in $C([t_0, T]; L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$ to some function $w_{\infty,j} \in C((0,\infty); L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$, $0 \le w_{\infty,j} \le 1$, for any $T > t_0 > 0$ as $i \to \infty$. Let

$$w^0_{\infty,j}(x) = \min\left(u_{0,j}(x), 1\right), \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

By case 1,

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \int_{B_R} \left| w_{\infty,j}(x,t) - w^0_{\infty,j}(x) \right| dx = 0 \qquad \forall R > 0, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \tag{3.19}$$

By (3.18) and Lemma 1.6 there exists a constant $N > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B_{R-Nt}} |u_{m,p,j}(x,t) - u_{m,p}(x,t)|\, dx \le \int_{B_R} |u_{0,j}(x) - u_0(x)|\, dx \quad \forall 0 < t < R/N, R > 0, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+, p > 1. \tag{3.20}$$

Putting $p = p_i$ in (3.20) and letting $i \to \infty$,

$$\int_{B_{R-Nt}} |w_{\infty,j}(x,t) - w_\infty(x,t)|\, dx \le \int_{B_R} |u_{0,j}(x) - u_0(x)|\, dx \qquad \forall 0 < t < R/N, R > 0, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \tag{3.21}$$

By (3.21),

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_{R-Nt}} |w_\infty(x,t) - w^0_\infty(x)|\, dx \\ &\le \int_{B_{R-Nt}} |w_\infty(x,t) - w_{\infty,j}(x,t)|\, dx + \int_{B_{R-Nt}} |w_{\infty,j}(x,t) - w^0_{\infty,j}(x)|\, dx + \int_{B_{R-Nt}} |w^0_{\infty,j}(x) - w^0_\infty(x)|\, dx \\ &\le \int_{B_R} |u_{0,j}(x) - u_0(x)|\, dx + \int_{B_R} |w_{\infty,j}(x,t) - w^0_{\infty,j}(x)|\, dx + \int_{B_R} |w^0_{\infty,j}(x) - w^0_\infty(x)|\, dx \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

for any $0 < t < R/N$, $R > 0$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Letting first $t \to 0$ and then $j \to \infty$ in (3.22), by (3.18) and (3.19), (3.12) follows. □

We will now complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.4, w_∞ is the unique solution of (1.8). Since the sequence $\{p_i\}_{i=\infty}^\infty$ is arbitrary, w_p converges to w_∞ in $C([t_0, T]; L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$ for any $T > t_0 > 0$ as $p \to \infty$ and Theorem 1.2 follows. □

4. Interchange of limits

This section will be devoted to proving Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Note that (i) follows directly by Theorem 1.2 and the result of [X]. Hence we only need to prove (ii). By Theorem 1.1, $u_{\infty,p}$ satisfies (1.5) with initial value u_∞^0 that satisfies (1.6) for some function $0 \le \psi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ which satisfies (1.7) and $0 \le u_{\infty,p} \le 1$ on $\mathbb{R} \times (0,\infty)$. Let $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{Z}^+$ be such that $p_i \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$. Since $0 \le u_{\infty,p} \le 1$, the sequence $\{u_{\infty,p_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ has a subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself such that u_{∞,p_i} converges weakly in $L^1(\mathbb{R} \times (0,\infty))$ to some function v_2 as $i \to \infty$.

On the other hand since $u_{\infty,p}$ satisfies (1.5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{\infty,p}(x,t) = \frac{u_\infty^0(x)}{\left((p-1)t u_\infty^0(x)^{p-1} + 1\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} \quad \text{a.e. } (x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0,\infty) \quad \forall p > 1 \\
\Rightarrow \quad & v_2(x,t) = \lim_{p \to \infty} u_{\infty,p}(x,t) = u_\infty^0(x) \qquad \text{a.e. } (x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0,\infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

and Theorem 1.3 follows. □

References

[BBH] P. Bénilan, L. Boccardo and M. Herrero, *On the limit of solutions of $u_t = \Delta u^m$ as $m \to \infty$* in Some Topics in Nonlinear PDE's, Proceedings Int. Conf. Torino 1989, M. Bertsch et. al. eds. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino 1989, Special Issue (1991), 1–13.

[CF] L.A. Caffarelli and A. Friedman, *Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of $u_t = \Delta u^m$ as $m \to \infty$*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 36 (1987), 711–723.

[H1] K.M. Hui, *Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of $u_t = \Delta u^m - u^p$ as $p \to \infty$*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA 21 (1993), no. 3, 191–195.

[H2] K.M. Hui, *Singular limit of solutions of $u_t = \Delta u^m - A \cdot \nabla(u^q/q)$ as $q \to \infty$*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 347 (1995), no. 5, 1687–1712.

[H3] K.M. Hui, *Singular limit of solutions of the porous medium equation with absorption*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 350 (1998), no. 11, 4651–4667.

[K] S.N. Kružkov, *First order quasilinear equations in several independent variables*, Math. USSR Sbornik 10 (1970), no. 2, 217–243.

[P] B. Perthame, *Some mathematical aspects of tumor growth and therapy*, International Congress of Mathematicians 2014 plenary lecture.

[PQV] B. Perthame, F. Quiros and J.L. Vazquez, *The Hele-Shaw asymptotics for mechanical models of tumor growth*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 212 (2014), 93–127.

[PTV] B. Perthame, M. Tang and N. Vauchelet, *Traveling wave solution of the Hele-Shaw model of tumor growth with nutrient*, Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences 24 (2014), no. 13, 2601–2626.

[R] H.L. Royden, *Real Analysis*, 2nd edition. Macmillan, New York (1968).

[S] P.E. Sacks, *A singular limit problem for the porous medium equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 140 (1989), 456–466.

[T] T. Tao, *The high exponent limit $p \to \infty$ for the one dimensional nonlinear wave equation*, Analysis and PDE 2 (2009), no. 2, 235–259.

[X] X. Xu, *Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of hyperbolic conservation laws $u_t + (u^m)_x = 0$ as $m \to \infty$ with inconsistent initial values*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 113 (1989), no. 1–2, 61–71.

Kin Ming Hui: Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica,, Taipei, 10617, Taiwan, R.O.C.

E-mail address: `kmhui@gate.sinica.edu.tw`

Sunghoon Kim: Department of Mathematics, School of Natural Sciences, The Catholic University of Korea, 43 Jibong-ro, Wonmi-gu, Bucheon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 420-743, Republic of Korea

E-mail address: `math.s.kim@catholic.ac.kr`